



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/577,968

05/02/2006

Joseph McCrossan

50478-1600

5184

52044

7590

04/27/2009

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. (Panasonic)

600 ANTON BOULEVARD

SUITE 1400

COSTA MESA, CA 92626

EXAMINER

ALVESTEFFER, STEPHEN D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2175

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/27/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/577,968	Applicant(s) MCCROSSAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Stephen Alvesteffer	Art Unit 2175	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen Alvesteffer, examiner of record. (3) Joseph W. Price, Reg.#25,124, for applicant.

(2) William Bashore, supervisory patent examiner. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 29-38.

Identification of prior art discussed: Reisman (US 2003/0229900) and Malamud (US 5,664,133).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative described the invention and how it distinguishes from the cited prior art of record. Discussed possible amendments to overcome the cited prior art of record. Further search and consideration in light of the discussion will be made upon receipt of a request for continued examination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/S. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 2175	/WILLIAM L. BASHORE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175
------------------------------------	--